

**Participants:** Debbie Kaiser, Rick Canady, Steve Froggett, Shaun Clancy, Bill Kojola, John Monica, Myriam Hill, Carolyn Cairns and Janet Carter

**Draft Agenda:**

(1) Updates & Approvals: *Given the number of conflicting meetings and thus low participation in today's call, the group agreed to tentatively approve draft items – allowing those not present additional time to comment / approve.*

Last call notes

2nd Face-to-Face notes

Revised NR website (+ two imagines attached for Value Chain graphic)

(2) Review the Data Call

- In order to get the materials from the companies, it's best to have a relationship with the people receiving the request.
- The confidentiality issue is addressed here – and that's good. However, as soon as the project begins to carefully describe the characteristics of the materials – the others in field are going to know whose product the material is being reviewed.
- There are a few options: the companies could go through a 3<sup>rd</sup> party intermediary, (potentially the NCC), or the NR project could ask for less detailed information about the material.
- The NR project is looking to get a wide enough range of materials that we can get a good sense of the range available on the marketplace. So, we want to avoid outliers and/or unique characteristics. As the NR project is focused on where the release potential is anticipated to be high.
- We want to detect what was released relative to the ENM that was added & what was released in relation to what's needed to perform tox testing. The NR project is interested in developing methods and getting these correct. Once we have the methods worked out, then the group would test real world products.
- One possible approach could be to use manufactures that do not care if the material information is disclosed (less/no concern about CBI), because either way, the information is useful. As long as these materials are relevant for materials that would be found/used in commerce.

(3) Briefing on NCC collaboration

- The NCC spent considerable time in discussions with manufactures and so the group needs to recognize that this will take time and may be complicated. The current draft letter is a good start, but the group should expect to have a number of conversations.
- What's the best way to start this process? – Through NCC, we could get 3-4 manufactures, but it would be best to get the whole group.

- One issue that hasn't been discussed are the characteristics of the CNTs, bracketing what it wanted for the testing will impact which companies could be involved.

(4) Discussion & finalization of: As with the other documents, the group discussed, but left final approval for the full group via email.

#### Revised MWCNTs Project Objective

A revised version will be circulated to the SC for consideration

#### Charges for Expert Task Groups

- TG 1: a few minor comments were made, and Debbie agreed to revise and recirculate.
- TG 2: a few suggestions were made, (a) the first sentence is missing factors that influence release – and this should be added, (b) a similar initial description could be added similar to TG 1 could be helpful in focusing the scope of the charge, and (c) the final sentence may need rewording to ensure the effort is relevant to real world settings.
- TG 3: this charge looks fine and will be circulated for approval.
- The group agreed that these are close enough to final versions, that ILSI should feel comfortable using these for initial conversations with potential experts to volunteers for the task groups.

#### Prioritization of Experts

- The group agreed that we are looking for the highest caliber, most enthusiastic drafters to approach first.
- Ideally, the group should strive to have the full list by the end of the week – but we shouldn't hold off starting to invite the few people we would really want to invite ASAP.

#### (5) Nominate Task Group Chairs

- Each TG should have co-chairs, one from the SC and the other from the invited experts.

#### **Next Steps:**

1. Circulate the items for approval, incorporating the updates as necessary
2. Ask for one of the TG charge drafters to serve as one of the co-chairs for the group.
3. Request the SC to send their top 3-4 expert choices for the TGs by the end of the week.
4. Invite Phil Sayer to the next SC meeting.