

**Participants:** Carolyn Cairns, Rick Canady, Steve Froggett, Jo Anne Shatkin, Bill Kojola, Andy Atkinson, Shaun Clancy, Lie Chen, Yasir Sultan, Darrell Boverhof and Cathy Fehrenbacher

**Updates:**

- 1) OECD-WPMN (SG8) product exposures proposal submission:
  - SG8 has had projects on exposure measurements / data. So far, these have been limited to occupational exposure. SG8 is interested in expanding
  - NR project proposed to extend to OECD member countries – allow for additional info on materials and round robin testing opportunities
  - At this point, the proposal doesn't include expanding the membership of the SC.
  - So far, SG8 has had no specific source term projects – never addressed how a NM got into the environment in the first place.
  - There was general agreement that this would be a good step to expand the project in a manageable fashion and gain broader / international participation.
- 2) Two new sponsors: the American Cleaning Institute and The Adhesives and Sealant Council.

**Agenda:**

- 1) Review / comments on Nov. 16<sup>th</sup> call:
  - Minor editorial changes were highlighted and once made, there was general agreement on the notes, which will be posted on the NR website within the week.
- 2) NM input letter feedback:
  - Several minor edits were suggested and incorporated. A revised version was sent around to the group for circulation.
  - It was noted that the decision matrix would be used by the SC, to identify priorities, followed by a face-to-face meeting of the SC to help finalize those priorities.
  - With nanomaterial priorities identified, relevant experts would be invited to participate in the SC workshop, to be held in the spring of 2011.
- 3) Face-to-face SC meeting (tentatively Jan 4<sup>th</sup>)
  - The purpose of the meeting would be to discuss: (1) the results from using decision matrix to prioritize NMs and further refine what materials to move forward on, and (2) identify and discuss which experts to invite for the SC workshop.
  - To accomplish these two objectives, the SC would probably need only a half-day.
  - A number of SC members would be unable to make a face-to-face meeting in early January, so a new date will need to be identified.
- 4) Steering Committee Workshop (tentatively the week of March 14<sup>th</sup>):
  - There was general agreement that with the difficulty faced in holding a SC face-to-face meeting in early January, a March date for the workshop would be too soon.
  - Early May was recommended as a new target month.

#### 5) Database scope statements

- Upon the initial review of the scope statement, there was general agreement. Defining the scope was viewed as being helpful in thinking through the complexity of the NR project and of the variables of NM release in particular.
- However, the group felt that the term “database” may be misleading for some viewing the website (expecting to find a searchable form of a database). In place of this label, the title “Information and Documentation Catalogue” was proposed.
- There was general agreement that we could to extend an offer in the input letter for input to the “database” as well.

#### Follow Up Items:

1. ILSI RF would circulate dates for a face-to-face meeting, possibly Jan 12<sup>th</sup>.
2. The NR SC would review the scope statements for the database and consider a new title: possibly the “Information and Documentation Catalogue”.
3. The NR SC members will distribute the nanomaterial letter to colleagues requesting input early January, in preparation for selecting priority materials to move forward with.