

Steering Committee (SC) Call Minutes
NanoRelease Consumer Products
April 30th, 2013

Participants: Wendel Wohlleben, Stefan Bernatchez, Lie Chen, Shaun Clancy, Debbie Kaiser, Chris Kingston, Bill Kojola, Jo Ann Shatkin, Alex Stefaniak, Yasir Sultan, Treye Thomas, Richard Zepp, Carolyn Cairns, Richard Canady, Libby Tsytsikova, Molly Bloom, Elyse Lee

Agenda

(1) Approval of last call minutes (Apr 9 2013)

- No objections were made on the call.

Action Item: Members should send any comments or edits to the secretariat within one week after which, the minutes will be considered approved and posted publically.

(2) Group Updates

- TG1
 - Co-chairs noted that the draft sent to the SC is not finished, but is about halfway complete.
 - More will be drafted in the next few days and then sent out to the rest of TG1 and other task groups.
 - The Phase 2.5 document was pointed out as relevant to this white paper and co-chairs agreed to look over the document. Authors are planning on conducting interviews with other metrology experts and will work to avoid redundancy with the Phase 2.5 report interviews.
 - Co-chairs agreed that information from this new TG1 white paper draft can be extracted and used to further complete the Phase 2.5 document.
 - *Action Item:* SC members should review the document and provide any feedback.
 - A draft will be shared by May 10th so that it can be presented and discussed at the workshop.
- TG2
 - Co-chairs noted that the white paper draft is being shortened for publication in Carbon. They are aiming for around 15,000 words and are making decisions about which figures to use. This should be complete by the end of May.
 - *Action Item:* The secretariat will look into specifics for publication such as how Carbon counts references, figures, and tables towards total manuscript length.
- TG3
 - It was noted that the white paper for this group has been accepted for publication in Environment International.

(3) Phase 2.5 & 3 Updates

- Phase 2.5
 - The tables in the report have been populated with information from more interviews and interviewing is now complete. The report focuses on three modules: sanding, abrasion, and weathering as decided on during the last call.
 - Findings from TG1 will be added to section 4.
 - Section 5 of findings is being reviewed for finalizing.

- It was noted that this will be useful material for the breakout sessions at the workshop.
- *Action Item:* Members should send any comments on the current draft by May 8th so that the document can be presented and shared as a draft during the workshop.
- Phase 2.5-3 Transition Workshop (May 16-17, 2013)
 - The intent of this workshop is for the project to make decisions about the best way to move forward and how to empower the Interlaboratory Testing Group. Some technical decisions can be made and areas of uncertainty can be identified.
 - The list workshop invitees/attendees was shared with the SC. It was suggested that the project also seek input from experts not who are not participating in the workshop.
 - Members reviewed the PowerPoint notes on the flow, intent, and breakout sessions for the workshop. No members on the call had any objections to the overall scheme.
 - It was noted that there is a relationship between abrasion and weathering- as materials weather they become more susceptible to abrasion and abrasion also influences weathering. The variables in the modules have been reduced for pilot testing, but the relationship between these modules should be considered important for continued testing steps.
 - On day two, the workshop participants should make a judgment on whether or not outcomes of the BOGs for each module make it appropriate for moving forward. The ITG will either be given the go ahead to initiate pilot testing, the workplan will need to be revised before initiation of pilot testing, or the decision will be made to not initiate testing at all.
- ITG Core Group (May 1st Webinar)
 - In the webinar, a core group (8-10 people) will begin discussion of organization, working documents, and intended path forward after the workshop. This is intended to be a small group of stakeholders and no specific decisions of materials or methods will be made. It is important for participants to start thinking about how/where to organize resources.

(4) Recent & Upcoming Presentations/Collaborations

- [QNano 2013](#) (presented March 2013)
- [ANTEC 2013](#) (presented April 2013)
- [Nanotech 2013](#): poster abstract accepted (May 12-16, 2013)
- [SETAC North America 2013](#) (Nov 17-21, 2013)
- [Conference on the Environmental Effects of Nanoparticles and Nanomaterials](#) (July 3, 2013)
- Submitting workshop for [SOT](#) on NanoRelease CP (March 2014)